1 2 3 4 5	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California W. LLOYD PARIS, Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No. 124755 Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2564 Attorneys for Complainant							
7								
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA							
9								
10	To the Matter of the Assumption) NO AG OF 10							
11	In the Matter of the Accusation) NO. AC-95-18 Against:							
12	ROSE ANN GALATI) <u>DEFAULT DECISION</u> 100 E. Thousand Oaks Blvd. #236)							
13	Thousand Oaks Blvd. #236) Thousand Oaks, CA 91360 (Gov. Code §11520)							
14	Certified Public Accountant) Certificate No. 34613							
15)							
16	Respondent.)							
17								
18	FINDINGS OF FACT							
19	1. On or about February 6, 1995, Complainant Carol B.							
20	Sigmann, in her official capacity as Executive Officer of the Board							
21	of Accountancy, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California							
22	("Board of Accountancy"), filed Accusation No. AC-95-18 against							
23	Rose Ann Galati ("respondent").							
24	2. On or about February 23, 1995, Eumelia Guzman, an							
25	employee of the Office of the Attorney General, sent by certified							
26	and regular mail a copy of Accusation No. AC-95-18, Statement to							
27	Respondent, Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7,							

the Notice of Defense form, and a Request for Discovery, to respondent's address of record with the Board of Accountancy which was and is 100 E. Thousand Oaks Blvd. #236, Thousand Oaks, CA 91360. The aforementioned documents were returned to the Office of the Attorney General marked "Moved Left No Forwarding Address" by the U.S. Postal Service. The same set of documents were also served by certified and regular mail on respondent at 1990 W. Gila Court, Newbury Park, CA 91320. The latter set of documents were not returned. The above-described service was effective as a matter of law pursuant to the provisions of California Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).

- 3. On May 7, 1982, the Board of Accountancy issued Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 34613 to respondent. On July 1, 1994 the license expired for non-payment of renewal fee and for failure to submit evidence of compliance with continuing education requirements.
- 4. California Business and Professions Code section 118 provides, in pertinent part:
 - "(b) The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

//

suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the license on any such ground."

- 5. California Government Code section 11506 provides, in pertinent part:
 - "(b) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if he files a notice of defense, and any such notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file such notice shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing. ..."
- 6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. AC-95-18.
- 7. California Government Code section 11520 provides, in pertinent part:
 - "(a) If the respondent fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent; ..."
- 8. The Board of Accountancy, is authorized to revoke respondent's Certified Public Accountant Certificate pursuant to the following provisions of the California Business and Professions Code:

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6

	a.	Sect	ion	5100	pro	vide	s th	at	the	Воа	ırd	may	revol	ke,
sus	end or	ref	use 1	to ren	iew a	any p	permi	to	r ce	rtif	ica	te:	issued	by
the	Board	, or	may	cens	ure	the	hold	ler	of	any	suc	h p	permit	or
cert	rificat	-6												

9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, and based on the evidence before it, the Board finds that the allegations, and each of them, contained in the Accusation No. AC-95-18 are true.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

1. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to section 5100 of the California Business and Professions Code by reason of the Finding of Facts numbers 1 through 9, above.

ORDER OF THE BOARD

Certified Public Accountant Certificate number 34613, heretofore issued to respondent Rose Ann Galati, is hereby revoked. An effective date of August 7, 1995, has been assigned to this Order.

Pursuant to California Government Code section 11520, subdivision (b), respondent is entitled to make any showing by way of mitigation; however, such showing must be made in writing to the Board of Accountancy, 2000 Evergreen Street, Ste 250, Sacramento, California 95815, prior to the effective date of this decision.

Made this 7th day of September , 1995.

FOR THE BOARD

03541110-LA95AD0028

1 2	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California W. LLOYD PARIS,								
3	Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No. 124755 Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street								
4	Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2564								
5	Attorneys for Complainant								
6									
7	BEFORE THE								
8	BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS								
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA								
10	In the Matter of the Accusation) NO. AC-95-18								
11	Against:)								
12	ROSE ANN GALATI) <u>ACCUSATION</u> 100 E. Thousand Oaks Blvd. #236)								
13	Thousand Oaks, CA 91360								
14	Certified Public Accountant) Certificate No. 34613)								
15	Respondent.)								
16	/								
17	COMES NOW Complainant Carol B. Sigmann, who as cause								
18	for disciplinary action, alleges:								
19	1. Complainant is the Executive Officer of the								
20	California State Board of Accountancy ("Board") and makes and								
21	files this accusation solely in her official capacity.								
22	LICENSE STATUS								
23	2. On or about May 7, 1982, Certified Public								
24	Accountant Certificate No. 34613 was issued by the Board to Rose								
25	Ann Galati ("respondent"), and at all times relevant herein, said								
26	Certified Public Accountant Certificate was in full force and								
27	effect. The license expired on July 1, 1994 for non-payment of								
	M .								

renewal fee and for failure to submit evidence of compliance with continuing education requirements.

Pursuant to section 118(b) of the Business and Professions Code, the suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by the Board shall not, during any period during which it may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated, deprive the Board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the licensee or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground.

STATUTES

1.6

- 4. This accusation is made in reference to the following statutes of the California Business and Professions Code ("Code"):
 - a. Section 5100 provides that the Board may revoke, suspend or refuse to renew any permit or certificate issued by the Board, or may censure the holder of any such permit or certificate.
 - b. Section 5100(c) of the Code provides that the Board may take disciplinary action against a licensee for dishonesty, fraud, or gross negligence in the practice of public accountancy.
 - c. Section 5100(f) of the Code provides that the Board may take disciplinary action against a licensee for willful violation of the Accountancy Act [Code §§ 5000, et seq.] or any rule or regulation promulgated by the Board.

- d. Section 5100(h) of the Code provides in part that the Board may take disciplinary action against a licensee for fiscal dishonesty or breach of fiduciary responsibility.
- e. Section 5037(b) of the Code provides in part that the licensee shall furnish to his or her client, upon request and reasonable notice:
 - 1. A copy of the licensee's working papers, to the extent that those working papers include records that would ordinarily constitute part of the client's records and not otherwise available to the client;
 - 2. Any accounting or other records belonging to, or obtained from or on behalf of, the client which the licensee removed from the client's premises or received for the client's account.
- f. Section 5107 provides, in part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge, as part of the proposed decision in a disciplinary proceeding, to direct any holder of a permit or certificate found in violation of section 5100 (a), (b), (c), (h), (i) or (j), to pay to the Board all reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case, including, but not limited to, attorney's fees.
- 5. This accusation is made in reference to the following regulations of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations:
 - a. Section 3 provides that a licensee must file, in writing, with the Board any change in her address within 30 days.

- b. Section 60 provides that a licensee shall not engage in conduct which constitutes fiscal dishonesty or breach of fiduciary responsibility of any kind.
- c. Section 68 provides that a licensee, after demand made by or on behalf of a client, for books, records or other data shall not retain such records.

FACTS

- 6. Respondent Rose Ann Galati is subject to disciplinary action under section 5100(c) of the Code for gross negligence in the practice of public accountancy. The circumstances are as follows:
 - A. Respondent Rose Ann Galati was a sole practitioner for approximately 10 years at 100 E. Thousand Oaks Blvd., Suite 236, Thousand Oaks, California. Sometime between the months of March and September, 1993 Respondent abandoned her public accounting practice without notification to her clients and without completing the work she had been engaged to perform.
 - B. Specifically, respondent failed to use due diligence or otherwise complete engagements on behalf of the following clients:
 - (1) Failed to file an extension for the 1992 income taxes and failed to complete the preparation of the tax returns for Nancy Calabretta (NCS Medical Enterprises, Inc.); Stan Watts; Lisa Fournier and Fournier Drywall, Inc; Christina Hartin; and James and Kelly Rogers.

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

- (2) Failed to complete the 1989 income tax return for Kenneth and Nancy Adams and failed to respond to correspondence from taxing authorities.
- Said failures and conduct constitute gross negligence by respondent Rose Ann Galati in the practice of public accountancy.
- Respondent Rose Ann Galati is subject to disciplinary action under section 5100(h) of the Code for breach of fiduciary responsibility in the practice of public accountancy. The circumstances are as follows:
 - The facts alleged in paragraph 6 and all its subparagraphs above are incorporated by reference.
 - Between March, 1993 and September, 1993 Respondent Rose Ann Galati ignored attempts by her clients to contact her.
 - On March 1, 1994 Respondent Rose Ann Galati returned to her office at 100 E. Thousand Oaks Blvd., Suite 236, and then she, or one at her direction, vandalized the office by throwing hundreds of client files everywhere. Respondent did not return to this office, and she left behind records for 1,345 clients that filled 176 boxes.
 - Said failures and conduct constitute a breach of fiduciary responsibility by respondent Rose Ann Galati in the practice of public accountancy.
- Respondent Rose Ann Galati is subject to disciplinary action under section 5100(f) of the Code in that she willfully violated section 60 of Title 16 of the California Code

of Regulations as set forth hereinabove at paragraph 7.

- 9. Respondent Rose Ann Galati is subject to disciplinary action under section 5100(f) of the Code in that she willfully violated section 5037(b) of the Code and section 68 of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations by failing to return client documents when requested. The circumstances are as follows.
 - A. The facts alleged in paragraph 7 and all its subparagraphs above are incorporated by reference.
 - B. From approximately March through September 1993,
 Respondent Rose Ann Galati did not respond to repeated
 requests to return source documents used to prepare income
 tax returns for the following clients Nancy Calabretta,
 Stan Watts, Lisa Fournier, Christina Hartin, Kenneth and
 Nancy Adams, James and Kelly Rogers, Julie Roberts and Joan
 Roberts.
 - C. Respondent continues to retain the 1992 source documents for Nancy Calabretta, Stan Watts, Christina Hartin, Kenneth and Nancy Adams, and James and Kelly Rogers. The other clients were able to obtain their records from the abandoned files at 100 E. Thousand Oaks Blvd., Suite 236.
- disciplinary action under section 5100(f) of the Code in that she willfully violated section 3 of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations by failing to notify the Board of Accountancy that, on or about September, 1993, she changed her address from 100 E. Thousand Oaks Blvd., Suite 236, Thousand Oaks, California.

1	
2	c
3	d
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
27	
	- 11

WHEREFORE, complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged herein, and that following said hearing, a decision be issued:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Certified Public Accountant
 Certificate Number 34613, heretofore issued to
 respondent Rose Ann Galati;
- 2. Directing respondent Rose Ann Galati to pay to the Board a reasonable sum for its investigative and enforcement costs of this action; and
- Taking such other and further action as the Board deems appropriate to protect the public health, safety and welfare.

DATED: Februare 6, 1995

Carol B. Sigmann
Executive Officer
Board of Accountancy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

03541110-LA95AD0028